

# **ACCREDITATION MOCK SURVEY**

Client Name: Sample Company Facility Location: Anytown, USA

# J. J. Keller<sup>®</sup> Accreditation Mock Survey Provides Comprehensive Compliance Assessment

J. J. Keller® Consulting provides the expertise of an independent consulting firm to prepare your facility for a successful DNV or TJC Life Safety Survey by assessing whether your organization would be likely to receive noncomformances or condition level findings. This sample report demonstrates the same type of full report you'll receive in order to determine your readiness for an actual survey, including recommendations for addressing areas of non-compliance.



### **ACTIVITY SUMMARY**

This audit included a review of current written policies, procedures, and training documentation. In addition to the policies and procedures review and the walk-through of the facilities, employee interviews were conducted.

## **AUDIT METHODOLOGY**

[Consultant Name] conducted opening and closing meetings for this audit. During the opening meeting, the overall goals and objectives were confirmed.

The following pages contain the results of J. J. Keller's DNV Accreditation Audit, including the overall scoring and non-compliant findings. During the audit process, the information applicable to [Organization Name] was reviewed for compliance with the DNV requirements in up to 66 individual compliance items across 4 categories. Each individual compliance item was identified as one of the following: Compliant, Minor Gap, Major Gap, Absent, or Not Applicable. The score for that category was then compiled and a compliance percentage was assigned to each category relative to how well [Organization Name] performed in that category.

### **AUDIT RESULTS**

The results of the audit produced an overall compliance percentage of 69%, for a Regulatory Compliance Risk Rating of MODERATE. A risk rating of MODERATE indicates that [Organization Name] is at risk for receiving nonconformances 1, 2, or condition level during the next DNV survey.

| MOCK AUDIT                           |       |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Regulatory Compliance<br>Risk Rating | Color |  |  |
| CRITICAL                             |       |  |  |
| SEVERE                               |       |  |  |
| SERIOUS                              |       |  |  |
| MODERATE                             |       |  |  |
| LOW                                  |       |  |  |
| MINIMAL                              |       |  |  |

Custom matrix provides a rating system for the severity of your risks.

It is recommended that [Organization Name] set an objective to reach at least 85% regulatory compliance on their next audit to improve their Rating to MINIMAL, and reduce overall regulatory risk.

The table below provides visibility to the scores of categories, sorted by the compliance rating:

| Category  | Risk Rating<br>Percentage | Compliance Risk<br>Exposure |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Facility  | 63%                       | Moderate                    |
| Safety, Security, Hazardous Material,<br>Emergency Management | 62%                       | Moderate                    |
| Life Safety   | 74%                       | Low                         |
| Medical Equipment and Utility Management Systems              | 77%                       | Low                         |
| Average   | 69%                       | MODERATE                    |

### FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The audit observations identified within this report are recommended to be an extension of the ongoing internal safety management and monitoring process. The observations documented in this section of this report were acquired during the documentation review and facility walk-through.

|        | Facility: Moderate |  |                |  |   |
|--------|--------------------|--|----------------|--|---|
|        | #                  | Finding  |                | Recommendations  | Regulatory<br>Reference   |
| or r   | isk a              | During the document review was noted that the ligature assessment did not account the risks identified during the building tour.  Inon-compliance re categorized nized for | risk<br>nt for | The annual ligature risk assessment should include leadership from Behavioral Health, Facilities, Safety, and Regulatory departments. The assessment should be systematically organized by location, ensuring that all potential risks are thoroughly identified and accompanied by corresponding remediation or mitigation plans. Each area where a patient could be present must be assessed comprehensively from top to bottom. | DNV NIAHO<br>manual, PE.1<br>facility; SR.1<br>42 CFR<br>482.13(c)(2) |
| 0.11.0 |                    | nce review.  |                |  |   |

| #  | Finding  | Recommendations  | Regulatory<br>Reference                     |
|----|--|--|---|
| 2. | During the building tour it was identified that there were damaged ceiling tiles in the following locations: | Replace the damaged ceiling tiles in the noted locations.  | DNV NIAHO<br>manual, PE.1<br>facility; SR.1 |
|    | 1. Endo room ABCD  |  |   |
|    | 2. Storage room 2222   |  |   |
|    | <ol><li>In corridor near elevator<br/>outside of pre-op</li></ol>  |  |   |
|    | 4. Room 522  |  |   |
|    | 5. Stairwell A on level 2  |  |   |
|    |  |  |   |
| 3. | During building tour there was wall damage observed in the following locations:                              | Paint refresh project as needed in highly used areas.  | DNV NIAHO<br>manual, PE.1<br>facility; SR.1 |
|    | Storage room 1234  |  |   |
|    | Operating room corridors   |  |   |
|    | <ul> <li>Inpatient behavioral health unit patient rooms and day rooms</li> </ul>                             |  |   |
|    | • Endo room 1234   |  |   |
|    | Throughout the 1st floor of<br>ABC building  |  |   |
|    |  |  |   |
| 4. | During the building tour, floor<br>damage or cleanliness issues were<br>observed in the following areas:     | Coordinate with EVS to conduct deep cleaning in high-traffic areas. Kitchen staff should perform a thorough cleaning under   | DNV NIAHO<br>manual, PE.1<br>facility; SR.1 |
|    | <ol> <li>Operating room corridors had<br/>floor damage</li> </ol>  | and behind appliances quarterly to prevent grease buildup. Refrigerator and freezer floors should be cleaned daily. In the OR, replace or repair the floors, as many of the welded seams and cove base |   |
|    | Kitchen floors were dirty under<br>and behind appliances   |  |   |
|    | Refrigerator and freezer floors     had dust and debris  | are damaged.   |   |
|    | 4. Behavioral health patient room floors   |  |   |

| Safety, Security, Hazardous Material, Emergency Management Systems: Moderate |  |   |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| #  | Finding  | Recommendations   | Regulatory<br>Reference  |  |
| 14.  | During the building tour of the SPD department, it was noted that the instrument air gauge in the decontamination room was not functioning.  | Instrument air pressure should not exceed 30 psi without the use of PPE. Repair the gauge and ensure it reads 30 psi or less, unless proper PPE is being worn   | DNV NIAHO<br>manual, PE.3<br>Safety; SR.2<br>OSHA Standard<br>29 CFR 1910.242(b) |  |
| 15.  | During the building tour, it was identified that a bulb crusher was in use. However, there was no maintenance and inspection documentation verifying that the safety mechanism to limit exposure while the machine was operating was in place. | Remove the bulb crusher from service and instead use a waste vendor to handle the disposal of spent bulbs. This will eliminate the need for monitoring all staff who operat the machine and reduce maintenance, PPE, and training requirements. | 29 CFR 1910.1000   |  |
| 16.  | During the building tour of the switchgear room, it was discovered that the doors leading outside had a significant drop with no warning signs, fall protection devices, or stairs installed.  | Install appropriate warning signs on the doors leading outside and ensure stairs fall protection devices are in place to principle to staff and contractors   | provide clear direction  |  |

| Life Safety: Low |  |  |   |   |  |
|------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| #                | Finding  | Recommendations  |   | Regulatory<br>Reference   |  |
| 28.              | During the building tour of the generator and switchgear rooms, it was noted that there were no illuminated exit signs directing individuals to an exit. | Perform an egress assessment to identify where exit signs should be installed.   |   | DNV NIAHO<br>manual, PE.2<br>Life Safety; SR.1a<br>NFPA 101, 2012: 7.10                           |  |
| 29.              | During the building tour there was<br>a "Not an Exit" sign installed on the<br>door leading to the Chiller<br>construction site.                         | This sign should be replaced with a sign the reads "No Exit".  | DNV NIAHO manual, PE.2  Regulatory references |   |  |
| 30.              | During the building tour of the main fire panel room, it was observed that the spare sprinkler head cabinet contained only four QR heads.                | sprinkler heads are stored in the cabine you have a central location where all sprinkeds are kept, place a sign on the small cabinets indicating that location. All spains | rese  | allow you to quickly<br>research the compliance<br>area for the exact<br>compliance requirements. |  |

| Medical Equipment and Utility Management Systems: Low |  |   |   |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| #   | Finding  | Recommendations   | Regulatory<br>Reference   |  |
| 50.   | During the building tour, it was identified that the endoscopy room was under negative pressure when it should be positive pressure according to the ASHRAE 170, 2008 ventilation table.   | CMS adopted NFPA 99, 2012, which refers to the ASHRAE 170 – 2008 ventilation table. According to this table, endoscopy rooms should be under positive pressure. It is recommended to reach out to your DNV representative to confirm their stance on this matter and ensure that the current pressure setup meets their standards, as clear guidance is not explicitly provided by DNV. | DNV NIAHO manual, PE.8 Utility Management Systems; SR.1a  NFPA 99, 2012: 2.3.2 CMS State Operation Manual, Appendix A |  |
| 51.   | During the building tour, the following concerns were identified as water management issues:  1. Ice Machine had visible dirt accumulation in the drain inlet.  2. The shower in the EVS floor care room was being used for storage and appeared to have been out of operation for an extended period.  3. In the ABC building, the old washer drain in the first-floor electrical room was plugged with a dirty rag and appeared to have not been flushed for a significant amount of time. | 1. Make sure the inlet drain is cleaned during monthly service.  2. Remove supplies and run the shower. If the shower is no longer needed, consider removing the fixtures and plumbing.  3. Properly plug the drain. If hookups are no longer needed, consider removing the fixtures and plumbing.  | DNV NIAHO<br>manual, PE.8<br>Utility Management<br>Systems; SR.1a   |  |
| 52.   | During the document review session, it was noted that the water management plan lacked defined action levels for remediation procedures.   | Include actions levels in the water management plan.  | DNV NIAHO<br>manual, PE.8<br>Utility Management<br>Systems; SR.1a   |  |

## **CLOSING**

The observations and recommendations within this report are intended for use as a process review summary only. Continued and ongoing vigilance from management is extremely important to the ongoing effectiveness of a workplace safety and compliance program. The findings and recommendations contained in this report are solely based on the items observed during the time that the consultant was on-site. Additional applicable information may be made available later that would impact on this report's recommendations. Please reach out to the J. J. Keller team for any further assistance that you may need.

J. J. KELLER®

HEALTHCARE
CONSULTING SERVICES

Keeping your healthcare facility in compliance with complex regulatory and accreditation requirements.

Caregivers and staff at hospitals, clinics and related facilities face a wide range of safety hazards. They must also comply with a variety of codes, standards and regulations from organizations that can include The Joint Commission (TJC), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), state health departments, OSHA and more.



Let a J. J. Keller healthcare compliance expert provide the insights and assistance you need to minimize your facility's risk areas, maintain accreditation, and comply with the regulatory requirements. Our consulting services include:



## Accreditation Mock Survey

Prepare your facility for a successful DNV or TJC Life Safety survey with this comprehensive, onsite mock survey. We'll focus on the accreditation standards the exact same way the survey would be conducted by a surveyor. You'll receive a full report that we'll review with your leadership team, including strategies for making improvements.

# **Document Review & Support**

We'll meticulously evaluate your records to ensure they're meeting TJC Environment of Care, Life Safety, and Emergency Management standards. This includes a detailed report of our findings, recommendations to address any issues, and a prioritization of action steps.



# Life Safety Assessment

This onsite assessment will evaluate whether your building's Life Safety systems meet TJC and NFPA 101 Life Safety requirements. A comprehensive report will include recommendations for addressing any risk areas, and in-person staff education will be provided on the Life Safety requirements.

# Workplace Violence Assessment

Ensure the safety and wellbeing of your healthcare staff and patients with a complete onsite assessment of your facility's physical environment and its workplace violence program, including policies and procedures, injury reports, staff training and more. A full report, including recommendations of any corrective actions, will be provided.

